

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,344	07/08/2003		Linda D. Artman	085747-0245	7848
22428	7590	04/28/2005		EXAM	INER
FOLEY AT	ND LAR	DNER	SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J		
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1617	
•				DATE MAILED: 04/28/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/614,344	ARTMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shahnam Sharareh	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seeing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become AB/	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (<u>03 March 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 37 and 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 37 and 39 is/are rejected. 						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Amakanana						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔽 Interview S.	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date)`Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed on March 03, 2005 has been entered. Claims 37 and 39 are pending.

The indicated allowability of claim 37 and 39 is withdrawn in view of discovery of new art. Thus, new grounds of rejection are as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balandrin et al US Patent 5,506,268 in view of Dorland's Medical Dictionary 27th ed. Page 379 ("Dorland's").

Claim 37 is directed to a method treating convulsions in a patient in need thereof comprising administering an effective amount of isovaleramide. The scope of the term convulsion is inclusive and is described in pages 9 lines 12-25 of the instant

Art Unit: 1617

specification. Accordingly, such conditions as local convulsions, puerperal convulsions, simple seizures, etc.. are encompassed by the instantly recited term, "convulsion."

Balandrin teaches Isovaleramide as an anxiolytic agent in patients in need thereof. Even though, Balandrin does not specifically teach methods of treating convulsion, Balandrin provides various specific teachings that meet the limitations of the instantly claimed methods of treating spasticity.

Balandrin first states that isovaleramide is prepared from extracts of *valeriana* officinalis, which has historically been used as sedative and <u>antispasmodics</u>. (see col 1, lines 55-58). Balandrin then specifically states that the anxiolytic effects of isovaleramide lead to a decrease in <u>general locomotor and spontaneous locomotor activity</u>. (col 5, lines 60-67; col 7, lines 6-10; col 9, lines 64-67; col 10, lines 23-28). Such decrease in locomotors activities are viewed to fall within the scope of the instant limitation "treating convulsions." Therefore, the limitation of "treating convulsion" is viewed to encompass any alleviation that lessens one or more spasms of muscles, including decreasing muscle tone.

Balandrin administered isovaleramide as hypnotic to patients in a hypertonic state such as hyperexicitable children, premenstrual patients, substance abuse patients. Therefore, the population described in Balandrin falls within the scope of the population describe in the instant claims. The doses employed for Balandrin to trigger a decrease in locomotor activity also falls within the range of instant claims. Thus, Balandrin describes all limitations of claim 37.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,344

Art Unit: 1617

Dorland's is merely used to show the general meaning of the term "convulsion" in the art. Accordingly, involuntary contractions of the voluntary muscles including minor spasm affecting muscles one part of the muscles, nodding spasm, tonic spasm without loss of consciousness are described to fall within the scope of the term convulsions. (see Dorland at pages 379).

Accordingly, even though, Balandrin does not explicitly describe treating convulsion, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ isovaleramide as described by Balandrin to treat muscle spasms encompassed by the instant term "convulsion," because not only the parent extract of such compound provides antispasmodic properties, but also Balandrin explicitly provides evidence of decrease in locomotor activity. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in treating or improving convulsion by administering isovaleramide at doses taught by Balandrin, because such doses are expected to decrease locomotor activity and lessens muscles spasms.

3. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balandrin et al in view of Dorland's and Schon et al (J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry, 1987, Sept 50(9):1148-52.

Claim 39 is directed to methods of treating headaches including headaches of migraine type.

The combined teachings of Balandrin and Dorland are described above.

Accordingly, using Isovaleramide for treating muscle spasm and convulsion was established.

Schon et al is merely used to show that headaches of migraine type are common among patients who experience a convulsive attack. (see abstract). More than 50% of such patients experience a migraine type headache.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to further treat or prophylactically treat headaches associated with convulsion with isovaleramide of Balandrin, because the ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success to at least prophylactically treat the postictal headaches, as described by Schon, by treating their convulsion which is the underlying condition for their post-ictal headaches.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahnam Sharareh whose telephone number is 571-272-0630. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, PhD can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,344

Art Unit: 1617

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER